D = ===	1
Page	1

GLOBAL NUCLEAR ENERGY PARTNERSHIP (GNEP)

PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PUBLIC SCOPING MEETINGS

OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE

FEBRUARY 13, 2007

	Page 2
1 COMMENTATORS	
2	PAGE
3 JOE LENHARD	6
4 JOHN CHAMBLISS - ITRC Board of Advisors	7
5 JIM CAMPBELL - ETEC	9
6 HOMER FISHER - ETEC	11
7 WOLF NAEGELI - Fdtn for Global Sustainability	14
8 FRANK HENSLEY (Written Comments Attached TCWP)	15
9 FRANCES LAMBERTS	17
10 BILL JOHNSTON (Written Comments Attached AFORR)	19
11 GARRY WHITLEY - ATLC	20
12 ERICH EVERED	21
13 ALICE MURPHY - ETEBA	23
14 SARAH SMITH	25
15 DAVID MOSBY	28
16 BARBARA A. WALTON - CAP/LOC	30
17 MILES SMITH	34
18 ELIZABETH DIXON (Written Comments Attached)	36
19 BETH LEWIS	38
20 ROBERT PEELLE (Written Comments Attached)	41
21 FRANZ RAETZER	44
22 GERRY MULL	45
23 ROBERT G. KENNEDY	45
24	
25	
	!

INTRODUCTION 1 MR. HOLMES BROWN 2 Good evening. Welcome to this Public Scoping 3 4 Meeting on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP). 6 The development of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) 7 for this project by the Department of Energy's Office of 8 Nuclear Energy is required by the National Environmental 9 Policy Act (NEPA). My name is Holmes Brown, and I will serve as the 10 11 facilitator for this event. My role is to ensure that this 12 meeting runs on schedule and that everyone has an 13 opportunity to speak. I am not an employee of DOE nor an 14 advocate for any party or position. At the registration table, you should have received 15 16 a Participant's Packet. If not, please raise your hand so 17 staff can bring it to you. It contains important 18 information on the presentation and is a convenient place to 19 take notes during the briefing that will follow in a few 20 minutes.

- 21 There are three purposes for tonight's meeting:
- 22 First, to provide information on the content of the
- 23 proposed Programmatic Impact Statement (PEIS) and on the
- 24 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) which governs the
- 25 process.

- 1 Second, to answer your questions on the proposed
- 2 PEIS and NEPA, and;
- 3 Third, to receive and record your formal comments
- 4 on the scope of the proposed PEIS.
- 5 The agenda for tonight's meeting reflects these
- 6 purposes. We will begin with our introductory remarks by
- 7 video from Mr. Dennis Spurgeon, DOE Assistant Secretary for
- 8 Nuclear Energy.
- 9 That will be followed by a presentation from Mr.
- 10 Richard Black regarding the proposed Programmatic
- 11 Environmental Impact Statement for GNEP. Mr. Black is the
- 12 Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Nuclear
- 13 Energy.
- 14 To answer your questions, project staff will be
- 15 available throughout the evening at the display tables.
- 16 They can discuss the proposed PEIS and the NEPA process, the
- 17 contents of the printed materials on display, and the
- 18 contents of Mr. Black's presentation.
- 19 Following Mr. Black's presentation, we will recess
- 20 so that the public may pursue further questions with
- 21 available project staff.
- Once we reconvene, the court reporter will be
- 23 available to receive your comments and suggestions regarding
- 24 the scope of the GNEP and PEIS. All your comments will be
- 25 transcribed and made part of the permanent record.

FORMAL COMMENT PERIOD

- 2 It is now time to receive your formal comments on
- 3 the scope of the proposed PEIS. This is your opportunity to
- 4 let DOE know what you would like to see addressed in the
- 5 draft document. A court reporter will transcribe your
- 6 statements. Our court reporter tonight is Jimmie Jane
- 7 McConnell.

1

- 8 Let me review a few ground rules for the formal
- 9 comments first.
- 10 Please step up to that microphone when your name is
- 11 called, introduce yourself, and provide the organizational
- 12 affiliation where appropriate.
- 13 If you have a written version of your statement,
- 14 please provide the written copies to the court reporter
- 15 after you have completed your remarks. Also, please include
- 16 any additional attachments to your remarks to be included in
- 17 the official transcript. These will be labeled and
- 18 submitted for inclusion in the formal record.
- 19 I will call two names at a time; the first will be
- 20 the speaker and the second of the person to follow.
- In view of the number of people that have indicated
- 22 an interest in speaking this evening, please confine your
- 23 public statements to five -- I'm sorry, three minutes; and
- 24 if it's longer, I'll let you know when you have a minute
- 25 left.

- 1 Mr. Black will be serving as the hearing officer
- 2 for the Department of Energy during this formal comment
- 3 period but he will not be responding to any questions or
- 4 comments during that period.
- 5 So with that by way of introduction, let me call
- 6 our first speaker and the second one. Joe Lenhard is our
- 7 first person signed up to speak and John Chambliss will
- 8 follow.
- 9 PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 10 MR. JOE LENHARD: Thank you. Welcome to all of
- 11 you, particularly all of you who are not from Oak Ridge.
- 12 We're happy to have you here today. My name is Joe Lenhard.
- 13 I came to Oak Ridge exactly 50 years ago from Graduate
- 14 School at Vanderbilt University. I've been here ever since,
- 15 never left, this is my home. I love it.
- The earlier presentation used up my entire talk.
- 17 Absolutely everything I had on here was said by those
- 18 earlier people but I'm going to repeat part of it.
- 19 I'm really excited and pleased with what GNEP is
- 20 offering to the United States, and we need to get behind it
- 21 and support it. One thing the United States needs to be
- 22 doing is rapidly expanding our nuclear energy production in
- 23 this nation.
- Number one to avoid this global warming. But
- 25 number two so that we'll have a long-term reliable energy

- 1 source in this nation.
- Now GNEP is going to make it easier to do that,
- 3 it's going to make it safer because we won't have plutonium
- 4 being handled around the country. We will not be making
- 5 long-term waste. The waste will be short term. And it's
- 6 just absolutely great for the nation to do it that way.
- 7 As a matter of fact, we should have -- as you noted
- 8 up here, nuclear energy kind of started 30 years ago. We
- 9 should have 30 years ago done what GNEP is proposing to do
- 10 now. All the other nations that are big nuclear users did
- 11 it 20 or 30 years ago. So that's very important.
- So I urge all of you to support GNEP and let's get
- 13 behind it and make it happen as soon as we can. Also, I was
- 14 told, when I was preparing for this, we had only one minute.
- 15 So I'm about done.
- I would like to note in closing that since Oak
- 17 Ridge invented the operation of nuclear power plants and the
- 18 reprocessing of fuel and the recycling, that there is a
- 19 great deal we here in Oak Ridge can do to make the GNEP
- 20 program successful.
- 21 Thank you.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you. Joe set a good precedent.
- John Chambliss is next. John will be followed by
- 24 Jim Campbell.
- 25 MR. JOHN CHAMBLISS: My name is John Chambliss.

- 1 I'm from Chattanooga, Tennessee. I sit on the Board of
- 2 Advisors of the Interstate Technology Regulatory Council.
- 3 We clean up toxic waste; write new texts, new books on how
- 4 to clean up toxic waste better; and we have 46 states that
- 5 are members of this organization. I represent everybody in
- 6 this nation that is not a regulator. That means people like
- 7 you-all.
- I have no science background. I live in
- 9 Chattanooga. It's downstream from Oak Ridge. I am
- 10 committed towards this concept and idea. I think we have
- 11 the best nation doing it. It needs to be done not only for
- 12 our use but others. We can take care of the stimulation
- 13 here in Oak Ridge.
- But I want to read a couple of things, nothing
- 15 scientific. I would like to start and have you-all think of
- 16 the worst possible toxic waste site that might happen.
- 17 "I met a traveler from an antique
- land Who said: Two vast and trunkless
- legs of stone stand in the desert. Near them, on the sand, half sunk, a shattered
- 19 visage lies, whose frown and wrinkled lip,
- and sneer of cold command, tell that its
- sculpture well those passions read which
- yet survive, stamped on these lifeless 21 things, the hand that mocked them, and the
- heart that fed: And on the pedestal these
- 22 words appear: 'My name is Osymandias,
- King of Kings: Look on my works, ye
 Mighty, and despair!' Nothing beside
- remains. Round the decay of that colossal
- 24 wreck, boundless and bare the lone and
- level sands stretch far away."

- 1 That is written by Shelly about 1815. Osymandias
- 2 did exist.
- 3 This is an answer to that. What we're doing here
- 4 is taking energy, using it again, and recycling it so that
- 5 we're not going to have issues.
- Now, in closing, I'm going to do something sort of
- 7 strange for this organization. It comes from Genesis 2:15:
- 8 "And God placed man in the garden to dress it and care for
- 9 it." It is time.
- 10 Thank you.
- MR. BROWN: Jim Campbell and Homer Fisher will
- 12 follow.
- MR. JIM CAMPBELL: My name is Jim Campbell. I'm
- 14 the President of the East Tennessee Economic Council, and
- 15 after those two talks I'm not sure there's anything left to
- 16 say. I don't have a poem or scripture. And I have only
- 17 lived in Oak Ridge 25 years, so I'm not near the resident
- 18 that Joe is, but we're going to work on that.
- 19 I want to thank the Department of Energy and folks
- 20 that are running this EIS for allowing the public comments
- 21 today.
- 22 Clearly our nation needs to explore every option to
- 23 provide cost-effective clean reliable safe energy
- 24 production. Couple that with our need for energy security
- 25 at the SALT complex and environmental problems and to reduce

- 1 the risk of nuclear proliferation, you have an immense
- 2 challenge for our nation. I'm glad that DOE and Doc
- 3 Spurgeon are taking that on right now.
- 4 GNEP begins to address each of those issues in a
- 5 comprehensive way and we appreciate the time and effort that
- 6 are going into preparing this comprehensive Programmatic
- 7 Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). Clearly much work
- 8 needs to be done. Part of that work is improving the
- 9 science and technology base. And as I look around this room
- 10 today, there's a number of people that will be a part of
- 11 that effort.
- 12 Another issue that must be addressed is providing
- 13 a work force for the future. Most of the folks in this room
- 14 are older, as well, and we need to have a new generation of
- 15 folks working on these kinds of energy challenges.
- 16 You need to do a better job of communicating the
- 17 risks and the benefits of nuclear power so that we have
- 18 better informed public policy on this.
- The last thing I want to say tonight is part of the
- 20 challenge that the Department of Energy is going to have in
- 21 accomplishing a mission of such grand scope is something
- 22 that we've learned a little bit about here in Oak Ridge over
- 23 the past few years with the completion of the spallation
- 24 neutron source and now our newest job of managing the Erie
- 25 Project for the U.S. Government.

- 1 Any kind of mega project that is over billions of
- 2 dollars is going to take an excellent team both of
- 3 government officials, private contractors, and local
- 4 communities that come together to achieve the outcome. And
- 5 I think that needs to be considered strongly as we develop
- 6 this program and work.
- 7 Thank you for your time.
- 8 MR. BROWN: Homer Fisher will be followed by Wolfe
- 9 Naegeli.
- 10 MR. HOMER FISHER: I'm Homer Fisher. I'm retired
- 11 from the University of Tennessee and I serve on the boards
- 12 of the East Tennessee Economic Council and the Oak Ridge
- 13 Chamber of Commerce. I have been a resident of East
- 14 Tennessee for 30 years now; living in Anderson County here
- 15 for the last 15. It is a pleasure to be a part of this
- 16 community and to observe the strong partnership that has
- 17 existed between Oak Ridge and the Department of Energy.
- 18 We thank the Department of Energy for giving us an
- 19 opportunity to speak on this topic tonight.
- I think GNEP is one of the most important and
- 21 significant undertakings ever put forward by the Department
- 22 of Energy and I applaud the thinking that has gone behind
- 23 this very bold proposal.
- I really believe that all forms of energy should be
- 25 explored and DOE has a track record of funding opportunities

- 1 in a variety of energy areas from wind to solar to hydrogen
- 2 to the fossil fuel refinement and to the fissile fuels.
- 3 They've done a good job in supporting that.
- But it's clear to the Department of Energy, it's
- 5 clear to many of our nation's leaders, and it's clear to
- 6 people who have studied the issue, that nuclear power offers
- 7 the only viable way to meet the long-term energy
- 8 requirements of this country and the world. It's the only
- 9 way we can reduce the dependence on foreign oil and it's the
- 10 only way that we'll be able to fully reduce the production
- 11 of carbon emissions and compete economically with other
- 12 nations that have far stronger energy productions programs
- 13 using nuclear energy.
- We're not in a competitive position now with the
- 15 high cost of fossil fuel and all of the surrounding
- 16 associated costs.
- GNEP is a comprehensive way to deal with the issue
- 18 of addressing nuclear power. It provides a safe and secure
- 19 approach to moving this country and other countries forward
- 20 together in providing widespread and safe use of nuclear
- 21 power.
- I won't go over the rationale presented very
- 23 effectively in the presentation that we received earlier,
- 24 but I do want to point out that the global energy demand
- 25 that's expected to rise by 60 percent over the next 25 or so

- 1 years and, as we heard earlier today, to double in the
- 2 united States by 2030.
- 3 Also, global warming is now recognized as the
- 4 nations most serious health problem by the National Center
- 5 for Environmental Health. And more and more people are
- 6 realizing the relationship between use of fossil fuel and
- 7 very serious illnesses related to lungs and heart.
- 8 James Lovelock who is a noted British scientist,
- 9 who also is an environmentalist and futurologist, has said
- 10 recently that he is agreeing and he encourages his friends
- 11 in the movement to drop their objections to nuclear energy
- 12 and to embrace it, noting that every year that we continue
- 13 burning coal it makes it worse for our descendents. And the
- 14 only immediately available source that does not cause global
- 15 warming is nuclear energy.
- I believe that GNEP offers the pathway to using
- 17 nuclear energy safely, securely, and with significant
- 18 international cooperation. And as the Department of Energy
- 19 moves forward, I hope that it will take into consideration
- 20 the proud heritage in energy related research here in Oak
- 21 Ridge, our nuclear history, and the capabilities this
- 22 community can bring forward to insure that GNEP is a
- 23 success.
- Again, we appreciate the opportunity to be here
- 25 tonight. And I'll tell you how much it means to me. At

- 1 7:00 o'clock tonight the tipoff game between the University
- 2 of Kentucky and Tennessee took place over at Thompson
- 3 Bowling Arena, and I'm here and not there.
- MR. BROWN: We were warned not to mention that,
- 5 but the cat's out of the bag.
- 6 MR. WOLF NAEGELI: Good evening. My name is Wolfe
- 7 Naegeli. I'm a board member for the Foundation for Global
- 8 Sustainability. I commend DOE on holding this scoping
- 9 meeting, but we deplore that there is no reasonable
- 10 alternative offered.
- 11 The idea of -- what we really need is a soft energy
- 12 future alternative from serious thoughts on how we can
- 13 reduce our energy dependence in less dangerous ways.
- 14 The idea or reenforcing nuclear nonproliferation
- 15 policies through massive expansion of nuclear energy
- 16 industries is a pipe dream. In the eyes of many other
- 17 nations and people, this plan amounts to nothing less than
- 18 another attempt by the United States to bully them around.
- 19 It will generate resentment. And we now hear every day on
- 20 the news where that leads us.
- I have no doubt that it is possible to build safer
- 22 -- an inherently safer nuclear power plant, at least safer
- 23 than we have now. But as the saying goes: "No one is more
- 24 foolish than he who believes he can build a full proof
- 25 device." The risks may be small but potential consequences

- 1 would be truly calamitous. And we're not just talking about
- 2 carelessness or outright stupidity here. We have to contend
- 3 with devious, malicious ingenuity.
- 4 It is quite common for our existing nuclear power
- 5 plants to fail security checks even when they know at what
- 6 time to expect a mock attack. GNEP would build a number of
- 7 high-yield targets for terrorists. Instead of wasting
- 8 billions of dollars on GNEP, we should do more research to
- 9 develope all the potential that still exists on energy
- 10 efficiency and on more soft energy alternatives. Bionergy
- 11 offers a lot of possibilities and it doesn't create these
- 12 huge plants that are so attractive to terrorists.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much. Francis Lamberts
- 15 will follow Frank.
- MR. FRANK HENSLEY: My name is Frank Hensley and I
- 17 represent the Tennessee Citizens for Wilderness Planning,
- 18 better known as TCWP. TCWP appreciates the opportunity to
- 19 comment on the scope of the Programmatic Environmental
- 20 Impact Statement being prepared for the Global Nuclear
- 21 Energy Partnership facilities. We are a 40-year-old Oak
- 22 Ridge based conservation organization that is active in
- 23 local, state, and federal issues.
- 24 The Global Nuclear Energy Partnership facilities
- 25 are very important projects. If Oak Ridge is chosen for the

- 1 Nuclear Fuel Recycling Center (NFRC) and/or the Advanced
- 2 Recycling Reactor (ARR), we strongly recommend that
- 3 brownfields be used for their location.
- 4 The most suitable brownfield for these facilities
- 5 is the 5,000-acre East Tennessee Technology Park better
- 6 known as K-25. This brownfield site has the infrastructure,
- 7 has an operating rail line, has river transportation
- 8 facilities, and has ready access to Interstate 40.
- 9 Hundreds of millions of taxpayers' dollars are
- 10 being spent to decontaminate and prepare this site for
- 11 industrial use. Reindustrialization of K-25 is a major goal
- 12 of the Community Reuse Organization of East Tennessee
- 13 (CROET). Therefore, locating the Nuclear Fuel Recycling
- 14 Center and the Advance Recycle Reactor at K-25 would
- 15 accomplish this goal. It is very disturbing that K-25 was
- 16 not considered for the location of these two facilities.
- 17 Approximately 20,000 acres of relatively
- 18 undisturbed land remains of the original 58,000-acre Oak
- 19 Ridge Reservation. We do not understand why DOE has funded
- 20 Community Reuse Organization of East Tennessee to study
- 21 4,000 to 7,000 acres of this undisturbed forested land for
- 22 the location of these proposed facilities. This forrest is
- 23 an irreplaceable asset for future climate and biological
- 24 research, for education, recreation, and wildlife habitat.
- Developing the proposed facilities on these

- 1 undisturbed Oak Ridge Reservation greenfields would have a
- 2 major environmental impact and would require a
- 3 Reservation-wide Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).
- We request that DOE study the K-25 site for these
- 5 two proposed facilities and that a Reservation-wide EIS be
- 6 completed before any greenfields are considered for location
- 7 of these facilities.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 MR. BROWN: Frances Lamberts. And Bill Johnston
- 10 will next.
- 11 MS. FRANCES LAMBERTS: I'm Frances Lamberts and I
- 12 am just a concerned citizen with several concerns about
- 13 GNEP.
- 14 First of all, I also want to thank DOE for holding
- 15 this meeting and allowing citizen input to this proposal. I
- 16 look forward very much to seeing this program. Some of my
- 17 concerns relate (a) something I didn't quite notice that
- 18 wasn't mentioned at all in the presentation, and that
- 19 relates to nuclear terrorism.
- The New York Times in November of 2001, I think,
- 21 raised this question and suggested the alarming verdict by
- 22 nuclear weapons experts who had looked at this issue of
- 23 whether terrorist -- international terrorist groups could
- 24 make nuclear bombs. And these nuclear weapons experts had
- 25 come to the alarming conclusion that if two or three

- 1 specialists in their ranks and with a machine shop very
- 2 likely could. The only problem is they don't have easy
- 3 access to plutonium or the highly enriched uranium.
- 4 Now several analyses or suggestions that I've seen
- 5 indicate that the reprocessing process of the plutonium
- 6 would be much more easily -- can be much more easily handled
- 7 and is much more vulnerable to theft than it is now. So
- 8 that would be a concern and I would hope that the DPEIS
- 9 would look at this question comprehensibly and objectively,
- 10 and look at this.
- 11 Second concern is that several analysts and someone
- 12 at the Intertrip Scientists and I believe even a NASA
- 13 Academy of Scientist study have indicated that to provide
- 14 the fuel to run our nuclear power plants, the economics are
- 15 such that to use reprocessed plutonium would be much, much
- 16 more costly -- by a factor of I don't know how much -- than
- 17 to start with uranium. So inasmuch as a very large part of
- 18 this money is the peoples' money, I would also, in terms of
- 19 the scope of the environmental impact study, hope that this
- 20 would be looked at; the different cost scenarios would be
- 21 looked at comprehensively, especially since I think that
- 22 like myself many of these people whose money would be
- 23 funding this are not necessarily the ones who are clamoring
- 24 for expansion of the nuclear energy future.
- 25 Thank you.

- 1 MR. BROWN: Mr. Johnston and Garry Whitley will
- 2 follow.
- 3 MR. BILL JOHNSTON: Good evening. I'm Bill
- 4 Johnston. I'm a citizen of the City of Oak Ridge. I'm
- 5 providing these comments on behalf of Advocates For the Oak
- 6 Ridge Reservation, also known as AFORR.
- 7 AFORR is a local nonprofit organization formed in
- 8 1999 with the purpose of supporting the continued existence
- 9 of the Oak Ridge Reservation for multiple values and uses
- 10 such as science and technology, conservation, public safety,
- 11 education, and recreations. AFORR supports DOE's nuclear
- 12 technology mission. AFORR can support GNEP in Oak Ridge if
- 13 environmental considerations are made.
- 14 AFORR believes that consideration of the Oak Ridge
- 15 Reservation as a candidate location for GNEP should be
- 16 limited to previously disturbed sites. One is the available
- 17 brownfield sites is the former K-25 site which is being
- 18 decontaminated and decommissioned to prepare it for future
- 19 uses.
- 20 Another is the site on the TVA, Tennessee Valley
- 21 Authority, land that was cleared and excavated for the
- 22 Clinch River Breeder Reactor. That land has been vacant
- 23 ever since that project was cancelled in the 1980s.
- 24 Ironically, the Clinch River Breeder Reactor project was the
- 25 last federal effort to demonstrate a commercial breeder

- 1 reactor. Wouldn't it be interesting to put GNEP facilities
- 2 on this site.
- Both of these sites should be suitable for GNEP's
- 4 facilities and should be considered in the PEIS. The
- 5 availability of these previously disturbed sites, which are
- 6 far more topographically suitable for large industrial
- 7 facilities than any of the undeveloped greenfield areas on
- 8 the western Oak Ridge Reservation, should preclude the
- 9 consideration of greenfield sites on the Reservation that
- 10 has substantial value in their natural state and for ongoing
- 11 DOE uses.
- 12 It is unfortunate that there has been no site-wide
- 13 environmental impact statement for the Oak Ridge Reservation
- 14 to provide support for land-use allocation decisions such as
- 15 this one. AFORR has sought a site-wide EIS for several
- 16 years, and we continue to hope that DOE will acknowledge and
- 17 fulfill its legal obligation to prepare a site-wide EIS.
- Thank you.
- 19 MR. BROWN: Thank you. Garry Whitley and Erich
- 20 Evered will follow.
- MR. GARRY WHITLEY: Good afternoon. Before I tell
- 22 you my name, I'm an optimist. So it's 20 to 2, Tennessee.
- I'm Garry Whitley. I'm here representing the
- 24 Atomic Trade and Labor Council of Oak Ridge. I'm the
- 25 president. We represent the labor force of Y-12 and ORNL

- 1 National Laboratories. The Atomic Trade and Labor Council
- 2 is 2100 members, comprised of a highly-skilled labor
- 3 workforce. Its combination of skills and experience working
- 4 with nuclear materials is unduplicated anywhere in the
- 5 United States. The men and women of the Atomic Trade and
- 6 Labor Council believe that we much start today answering the
- 7 energy problems of tomorrow. We can't wait ten or fifteen
- 8 years.
- 9 Oak Ridge has played an important role in solving
- 10 these problems for the nation for 60 years and the Atomic
- 11 Trade and Labor Council have been a part of that for all the
- 12 60 years. We believe that it will help make the world safer
- 13 and the nation stronger by focusing on a long-term supply of
- 14 clean energy that does not increase the presence of
- 15 heat-trapped gasses in the atmosphere and at the same time
- 16 will reduce the threat of proliferation of special nuclear
- 17 materials.
- The men and women of the Atomic Trade and Labor
- 19 Council support the GNEP strategy and stand ready to make it
- 20 a reality. And there's nowhere better to put it than at Oak
- 21 Ridge, Tennessee.
- Thank you.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you. Erich Evered will be
- 24 followed by Alice Murphy.
- 25 MR. ERICH EVERED: My name is Erich Evered and I am

- 1 not a nuke. And I am fully supportive of the GNEP
- 2 objectives and the prospect of locating one or more of its
- 3 facilities in Oak Ridge.
- As a geologist and engineer I've spent half of my
- 5 33-year career in the nonnuclear energy production industry
- 6 and the other half in the environmental clean-up and waste
- 7 management industry in which I currently work. I also spent
- 8 four years as an administrator of the Energy Information
- 9 Administration at DOE Headquarters, a federal agency
- 10 responsible for the collection and analysis of data on all
- 11 aspects of energy supply, consumption, and forecasting the
- 12 future needs.
- All of this has given me a very thorough
- 14 understanding of the importance of expanded nuclear baseload
- 15 power generation and closing the nuclear fuel cycle to
- 16 meeting our country's energy needs of the future and
- 17 minimizing insult to the environment.
- 18 I've lived a lot of wonderful places in this
- 19 outstanding country and we are in Oak Ridge by choice for
- 20 purposes of the qualify of life in this community. I have
- 21 an 8-year-old son that I am looking forward to continuing to
- 22 raise in Oak Ridge. And I can think of no better gift to
- 23 give him than the continued leadership role for Oak Ridge in
- 24 the nuclear industry, including power generation and closing
- 25 the fuel cycle under the initiatives of GNEP.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 MR. BROWN: Alice Murphy. Sarah Smith will follow
- 3 Alice.
- 4 MS. ALICE MURPHY: Good evening. My name is Alice
- 5 Murphy. I am the Executive Director for ETEBA. That stands
- 6 for the Energy Technology and Environmental Business
- 7 Association. We have about a 150 business organizations in
- 8 our organization, and those are both large and small,
- 9 representing businesses primarily from the Oak Ridge area
- 10 but we also have businesses from across the United States.
- 11 A lot of those businesses have expertise in nuclear energy.
- 12 ETEBA supports the Alternative Two GNEP preferred
- 13 alternative because we believe that it has several benefits:
- 14 It provides cost-effective reliable energy production. As
- 15 was mentioned earlier, worldwide energy demands are going to
- 16 double in about the next 15 years. That's an astronomical
- 17 thing to think about; doubling the energy needs by 2030.
- 18 The U.S. demands will increase by 65%.
- As a former manager for the Department of Energy --
- 20 I've got 30 years with the Department of Energy -- one of
- 21 the jobs that I had was the deputy manager for one of the
- 22 DOE's fossil energy laboratory which is located in
- 23 Morgantown and Pittsburg. That laboratory does research on
- 24 how much energy supply we have with coal, gas, and oil.
- 25 Some of the projections show that we have about a 100 years,

- 1 some say that we have 200 years. So when you think about
- 2 it, that's not a long time.
- 3 With this energy growth doubling every 15 or 20 years, we
- 4 are going to need a lot more energy, and nuclear energy is
- 5 that source.
- 6 Unlike fossil energy, there's a limited supply of
- 7 nuclear energy production. This will decrease our
- 8 independence -- will decrease our dependence on foreign oil.
- 9 But we have to start today, if we want to secure our energy
- 10 independence. The GNEP will improve the environment for the
- 11 world. It's carbon free, it does not emit greenhouse
- 12 gasses, and 93.6% of the uranium in spent nuclear fuel can
- 13 be recoverable through the reprocessing facilities. It also
- 14 reduces the risk of nuclear proliferation. Nuclear
- 15 production capability is increasing worldwide. Countries
- 16 will build nuclear power plants. The question is: How to
- 17 prevent nuclear proliferation; how do we prevent the
- 18 technology from following into the wrong hands?
- 19 Under the GNEP project the U.S. will work with
- 20 other advanced nuclear nations to develop a fuel services
- 21 program that will provide nuclear fuel and recycling
- 22 services to nations in return for their commitment to
- 23 refrain from developing enrichment and recycling technology.
- 24 The technology base exists for GNEP. The sodium fast
- 25 reactor has already been proven. France, UK, Japan, and

- 1 Russia already have reprocessing facilities.
- 2 Lastly, I would like to say that we believe that
- 3 Oak Ridge has excellent potential for a possible GNEP
- 4 facility. It has been the leader in spent-fuel actually
- 5 processing R&D for 60 years. The facilities here at Oak
- 6 Ridge represent a five billion dollar strategic nuclear
- 7 energy asset. We have the design, expertise, construction,
- 8 and operation of large scale nuclear fuel reprocessing
- 9 equipment.
- 10 So in summary, ETEBA supports the GNEP as a path to
- 11 providing the U.S. with energy security and preventing
- 12 further nuclear proliferation in countries who might wish to
- 13 terrorize others.
- 14 Thank you.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you. Sarah Smith. And she will
- 16 be followed by David Mosby.
- 17 MS. SARAH SMITH: Thank you. My name is Sarah
- 18 Smith and I'm one of your neighbors down the road,
- 19 Interstate 40, around the Carthage area. We mighty pleased
- 20 to be up here. We have more knowledge in this room than in
- 21 anything I've been in a long time and I really appreciate
- 22 and understand all that.
- I certainly understand Oak Ridge and your love for
- 24 Oak Ridge, because this is how Oak Ridge was built and this
- 25 is where your jobs come. I remember as small child coming

- 1 here with my father who was physicist teacher and going to
- 2 the museum and getting my little bottle of radiated material
- 3 and thinking that was the coolest thing in the world. Of
- 4 course, no telling what I got from it later on. But that
- 5 was a big thing in the museum.
- I also see this from a point of view where -- I do
- 7 live near Carthage, Tennessee. And in the 70s the largest
- 8 nuclear plant in the world was supposed to be built there.
- 9 It got almost built but it was stopped. A few years ago
- 10 they wanted to put a uranium enrichment plant there, also
- 11 called EURECO, from Europe. A multi-million dollar company
- 12 came there. They didn't build it there and went on to New
- 13 Mexico.
- I guess I'm seeing this from a little different
- 15 perspective because -- whereas I understand this is very
- 16 important to all of you here for your jobs, I guess in the
- 17 best of all worlds I would wish all of the great brains and
- 18 talent here could be redirected to true renewable energy and
- 19 we use all this wonderful power for wind/solar.
- I also wish all the billions and billions of
- 21 dollars that have been directed to nuclear over the years
- 22 could be matched dollar-for-dollar for some of these
- 23 renewables. If we are going to subsidize, we should do it
- 24 evenly across the board; and this is the comment I have to
- 25 the Department of Energy. If we're going to subsidize and

- 1 we're going to use our tax dollars, let's do it evenly
- 2 across the board so it is a fair playing field. It is truly
- 3 a market economy where no one is being giving more than
- 4 others.
- 5 And, again, I truly understand, I truly appreciate
- 6 all of the knowledge and all of the hard work and that this
- 7 is what your home is built on here. If it was an isolated
- 8 island and there weren't neighbors around and if there
- 9 weren't "boo-boos" that can happen, as I used to say when I
- 10 was a kid -- I mean, look at this. This is technology.
- 11 (Pointing to the microphone) But it doesn't totally work,
- 12 does it?
- But the place whereever they're going to put that
- 14 great, largest nuclear plant in the world and nuclear
- 15 enrichment plant. Guess what? If we had a catastrophe or
- 16 either of these dams in the area break, the whole area would
- 17 be flooded. So sometimes we just don't plan ahead. Thank
- 18 goodness we didn't have either one of those built there and
- 19 hopefully we won't have a flood.
- 20 But I just wish we could all think through this
- 21 together. We're all in this together. We're all in this
- 22 world together. DOE, TVA, Oak Ridge, little Carthage is
- 23 down the road -- we need to rethink some of the things we're
- 24 doing. Again, you've got more knowledge in this room than I
- 25 could hope to see in another room for the rest of my life.

- 1 Can't we put this knowledge together and come up with
- 2 something across the board, across the board that gives
- 3 everybody an opportunity?
- We've got some great resources out there, and some
- 5 of it is wind and solar. I just don't think the DOE is
- 6 giving a sufficient amount of money and time to that. We
- 7 can all work together in this. I think there's a better
- 8 way. We can all work at it together. I would challenge us
- 9 to do that.
- Thank you.
- 11 MR. BROWN: Thank you. David Mosby followed by
- 12 Barbara Walton
- MR. DAVID MOSBY: Thank you. And I would like to
- 14 thank the Department for allowing this opportunity to
- 15 provide comment on this very, very important proposal.
- My name is David Mosby and I'm a member of the Oak
- 17 Ridge City Council. I'm here today to represent that
- 18 Council because on September the 5th the Oak Ridge City
- 19 Council considered a resolution to support the GNEP process.
- 20 And we have a resolution that we adopted on that date and
- 21 I'm going to make it a part of the written record. But
- 22 today I wanted to give you just an excerpt of part of the
- 23 resolution, verbally.
- And it goes something like this:
- 25 "Whereas in publishing its criteria for site

- 1 selection, DOE has listed Oak Ridge as one of the
- 2 competitive sites;
- 3 "And whereas Oak Ridge has a long and proud
- 4 heritage of hosting DOE facilities that advance nuclear
- 5 science and technology, and for more than 60 years has been
- 6 at the forefront of research and development in the fields
- 7 of nuclear energy and medicine;
- 8 "And whereas Oak Ridge could play a significant
- 9 role in meeting GNEP's objective, which include enhancing
- 10 national security and addressing the growth demand for
- 11 energy through the accelerated development of nuclear and
- 12 deployment of advanced nuclear technologies;
- "And whereas the City of Oak Ridge has long been on
- 14 record as a supporter of nuclear power;
- "And whereas the GNEP concept offers a strategy to
- 16 expand production of clean safe nuclear power while reducing
- 17 the threat of proliferation;
- 18 "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Council of
- 19 the City of Oak Ridge, Tennessee, that the City of Oak Ridge
- 20 endorses and supports the U.S. Department of Energy's Global
- 21 Nuclear Energy Partnership and its approach to development
- 22 of worldwide consensus on enabling expanded use of
- 23 economical carbon free nuclear energy to meet the growing
- 24 electricity demand.
- "Be it further resolved, the Oak Ridge City Council

- 1 supports the consideration of Oak Ridge as a suitable site
- 2 for location of the facilities required to accomplish GNEP's
- 3 objective and advocates strongly the performance of a
- 4 detailed study of potential sites on the Oak Ridge
- 5 Reservation."
- The rest of the resolution I'm going to submit in
- 7 written form, but I am just happy to have the opportunity to
- 8 present a portion of that to you today.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 MR. BROWN: Thank you. Next it Barbara Walton.
- 11 She will be followed by Miles Smith.
- MS. BARBARA WALTON: I'm Barbara Walton. I'm a
- 13 resident of Oak Ridge and I am a member of the Citizens
- 14 Advisory Panel of the Local Oversight Committee (CAP/LOC),
- 15 who is meeting this evening. I am not attending that
- 16 meeting so that I can attend this meeting. I am speaking as
- 17 an individual, but I will be reporting back to them; and
- 18 they will be sending a letter, officially, either from CAP
- 19 or the Board. I'm not sure how that will work out.
- I commend the goals of the GNEP program, especially
- 21 the fuel services program. That should be a help in
- 22 nonproliferation efforts, the reactor program, because
- 23 certainly there are reactors that are more resistant to the
- 24 proliferation problem.
- 25 My comments tonight focus on what should be in the

- 1 Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement so that we
- 2 can analyze various alternatives and come up with good
- 3 decisions.
- And since we are talking about a program that is
- 5 international in scope and nuclear energy is supplied by
- 6 commercial firms by and large throughout the world, it is
- 7 very important to add to the list that was in the
- 8 announcement of opportunity, issues to be addressed, that
- 9 the evaluation of long-term cost effectiveness of the
- 10 various technologies under consideration; because they must
- 11 be commercially viable in order to really succeed in a
- 12 global economy.
- 13 Also, I spent about 20 years working on the
- 14 national weather and climate program as an employee of NASA
- 15 and I moved to Oak Ridge upon retiring. I've always been
- 16 interested in the nuclear energy aspects. Penn State had a
- 17 nuclear reactor when I went there and I found out more
- 18 recently that there was an Oak Ridge connection for that.
- But I must remind you that as we get into this
- 20 global warming there are going to be unpredictables such as
- 21 the availability of water which all reactors need for
- 22 cooling purposes. And that is not as predictable as
- 23 rainfall patters; those scenarios. We know that patterns of
- 24 rainfall are going to change but we don't know how they're
- 25 going to change. It's very difficult to predict. We can

- 1 predict a temperature rise but you can't predict how it's
- 2 going to, you know, play out locally.
- 3 So the water resources that are going to be needed
- 4 for the expansion of the nuclear energy should be addressed
- 5 in that Environmental Impact Statement also.
- One of those goals of an Environmental Impact
- 7 Statement that is programmatic in scope would be to
- 8 distinguish what are pipe dreams and what are reality. I
- 9 think using a sodium-cooled fast reactor as a baseline is an
- 10 excellent thing because that is a known technology, but
- 11 there are other new reactor technologies. I remember a
- 12 couple of years ago reviewing a summary of them and some of
- 13 them are very interesting; some of them even use natural
- 14 uranium rather that enriched uranium, which lends itself to
- 15 nonproliferation aspects too.
- Now, we also need to be careful because, of course,
- 17 they did have the West Valley Demonstration Project and
- 18 they're still trying to clear-up the remains for that.
- 19 That's the only one that I'm aware of. And I don't know how
- 20 the foreign governments are handling waste from their
- 21 recycled spent nuclear fuel.
- But I do want to add a word of caution, because the
- 23 spent nuclear fuel standard for ensuring that plutonium is
- 24 detectable. So for our excess weapons grade plutonium, the
- 25 United States has chosen the path of using the MOX fuel

- 1 which then converts the plutonium into a spent nuclear fuel
- 2 where it's detectible.
- 3 So when we're involved with the separation
- 4 processes -- although the goals have good nonproliferation
- 5 aspects, some of the steps may not be. So it's very
- 6 important to analyze all of the processes and the various
- 7 technologies to make sure we have something that doesn't
- 8 have a weakness somewhere in the chain and that it's also
- 9 cost effective.
- 10 I look forward to reading the draft, when it is
- 11 available. And I will have more comments at that time.
- I do agree with the speakers who stress the need to
- 13 use brownfields where they are available. But I did want to
- 14 point out the potential problems. Not here, particularly.
- 15 We have pretty good rainfall in Oak Ridge. But when you're
- 16 looking at global aspects, not all nations can put reactors
- 17 where they might want them because they don't all have or
- 18 aren't all blessed with water resources. And, of course, we
- 19 have a lot of low-lying land that rises to sea level. We're
- 20 going to have some real problems
- 21 And I do believe that nuclear energy is one of the
- 22 solutions that we should pursue.
- Thank you.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you. Miles Smith who will be
- 25 followed by Elizabeth Dixon.

- 1 MR. MILES SMITH: Well, like Erich that spoke
- 2 before me, I'm here for one very important reason and that's
- 3 for the lovely young lady sitting next to me.
- 4 The future of Oak Ridge and literally the future of
- 5 our nation, at least as an economic powerhouse, depends on
- 6 technology and things like GNEP. I'd also like to echo what
- 7 Garry Whitley had said earlier. Oak Ridge is blessed with
- 8 over 30,000 scientists, engineers, technicians, and special
- 9 craft labor that can make GNEP a reality.
- 10 I've also got a couple of other reasons why I
- 11 support GNEP and some of them are a little different, as
- 12 Wolf had spoke earlier about world opinion and the threat of
- 13 terrorism. As a veteran of the Iraq war, a recent veteran,
- 14 I can tell you that I would wholeheartedly support GNEP as a
- 15 way of reducing our dependency on foreign oil. Perhaps
- 16 while my experience in Iraq for the most part was good -- I
- 17 understand our nation's opinion has turned against it -- we
- 18 probably wouldn't have to be so involved in places as
- 19 volatile as the Middle East, if we were more energy self-
- 20 sufficient. GNEP will help get us there and Oak Ridge can
- 21 bring us GNEP.
- Not sounding flippant but as a side to that, there
- 23 is an anecdote to that. I probably lost more men in a
- 24 squadron in Iraq than will be lost -- than lives will be
- 25 impacted or lost through GNEP. It is a very safe

- 1 technology, it's very mature.
- The waste management technology is one which was a
- 3 weak point in the past with reprocessing but has caught up
- 4 with the reprocessing technology. We have vitrification, a
- 5 proven technology; we have the fast burner reactors, which
- 6 can burn up the plutonium and eliminate it as a future
- 7 problem, instead of having the designer repository such as
- 8 Yucca Mountain for hundreds of thousands of years of usable
- 9 life to isolate the waste from the environment. Now, if we
- 10 reprocess, the waste only has to be isolated for 300 to a
- 11 1,000 years, easily achievable through vitrification
- 12 technology.
- And it is an environmental imperative. Whether you
- 14 believe global warming is a reality or not, we should take
- 15 steps to be on the safe side and nuclear power is certainly
- 16 the easiest and most efficient way to generate our energy
- 17 demand and not produce greenhouse gasses.
- While I respect Ms. Sarah Smith's opinions on wind
- 19 and solar, as a practical matter I would not look forward to
- 20 seeing millions of wind turbine generators outside my window
- 21 and through out this country and millions of solar rays
- 22 being a blight on the nature of East Tennessee or the rest
- 23 of nation. And it is millions of wind generators and solar
- 24 cells -- solar panels that it takes to replace the power
- 25 that is generated through nuclear and other fossil fuels.

- 1 So while I applaud the thoughts that, yes, we would
- 2 like to have these clean nonwaste producing technologies,
- 3 they are not a practicality.
- Finally, again, the economic benefit of GNEP to Oak
- 5 Ridge and to the nation. We have slipped behind the world
- 6 as a technology leader. If we are to thrive as a national,
- 7 we must move forward with our technological leadership; we
- 8 must receive the technological leadership and move forward
- 9 so that my children and Erich's children will have a future
- 10 not only here in Oak Ridge but in the United States.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you. Our next speaker will be
- 12 Elizabeth Dixon.
- MS. ELIZABETH DIXON: I'd like to thank DOE for
- 14 this opportunity to speak. My name is Elizabeth Dixon and
- 15 I am on the board of the local Sierra Club. I trust you are
- 16 familiar with the Sierra Club. It is the largest and oldest
- 17 environmental organization in the nation and it is also
- 18 considered the most influential.
- 19 Speaking only for myself, I want to see the workers
- 20 of Y-12 and Oak Ridge National Labs to continue to have
- 21 jobs. I'd like to see our trade and labors continue to have
- 22 work. But I find this GNEP proposal questionable at best,
- 23 and here are some of my concerns.
- These are incredibly expensive, in the billions and
- 25 billions of dollars, and this money would be better spent to

- 1 clean-up the already polluted areas and to seek clear and
- 2 renewable energy sources and encourage energy efficiency.
- 3 The only facility where this has been tried in the
- 4 U.S. is the West Valley, New York facility, which is now an
- 5 environmental disaster with radioactive waste threatening
- 6 groundwater and the Great Lakes watershed, a 5.2 billion
- 7 dollar estimate for the clean-up of that site. Some of the
- 8 sites proposed for this GNEP are already highly polluted and
- 9 in need of clean-up themselves.
- 10 I find the whole approach by this administration to
- 11 wage war to create peace, to proliferate, to prevent
- 12 proliferation, and the whole of the administration's
- 13 approach to nuclear matters in general. We threaten war
- 14 against the countries who are pursuing nuclear and then
- 15 offer to give nuclear fuel to our friends. But, as we know,
- 16 our friends of today can become our enemies of tomorrows;
- 17 for instance, Iraq.
- 18 I also have concerns about the transportation of
- 19 these materials, the heavy traffic flow between the Savannah
- 20 River site and Oak Ridge. That not only offers more
- 21 opportunities for accidents but also by terrorists.
- I think that concludes my remarks. I will provide
- 23 written remarks later.
- 24 Thank you.
- MR. BROWN: Thanks very much. The next speaker is

- 1 Beth Lewis.
- 2 MS. BETH LEWIS: Thank you very much. You know, I
- 3 speak with Sarah and I appreciate all of the knowledge here
- 4 in this room and the fact that you've all been supportive
- 5 and gotten energy to the United States' citizens, but I feel
- 6 like it's persistent problems.
- 7 I don't feel like the problems here are clearly
- 8 solved with what we're looking at because it hasn't worked
- 9 out too well. You know, even from what I've read in other
- 10 countries, like Russia, they tried to breakdown their spent
- 11 fuel and they had problems with it and had to close the
- 12 plant down.
- But the main thing is the expense. Nuclear power
- 14 has been dependent on government subsidies. Since between
- 15 1948 and 1998, 111.5 billion has been spent on energy
- 16 research. 70 billion of that has been going to the nuclear
- 17 industry, which is, you know, a large portion. 70 billion
- 18 in 50 years. Over the same 50 years only 12 billion went to
- 19 renewable energy and 8 billion went to sustainable energy.
- 20 The whole reason the Clinch River -- it seems
- 21 things like that in the past have gotten quite out of
- 22 control, like the Clinch River. The original estimate on
- 23 that was, you know, something like 400 million. By the time
- 24 it was up to 8.8 billion it got scrapped.
- 25 So the Atomic Energy Commission was very, very

- 1 optimistic in the 70s. It's a great dream. I mean, it
- 2 sounds good. I wish it was true, you know, but I don't
- 3 think it is.
- The history, you know, of how it has developed over
- 5 these 50 years, doesn't seem to show it as a sustainable
- 6 resource because the claims were that there would be energy
- 7 for everybody. It was going to be a wonderful clean energy
- 8 with a 1,000 nuclear power plants by 2000 as well as
- 9 reprocessing plants to recycle spent fuel and spent fuel
- 10 would be the greatest nonproblem in history. But that
- 11 hasn't happened. We don't have any storage facility. Yucca
- 12 Mountain, they keep coming up with things that are wrong
- 13 with it; how it would eventually get into the groundwater.
- 14 You know, I can't believe 240,000 years. How could
- 15 we create something that would take -- I can't even imagine
- 16 a vessel of any type lasting 240,000 years anywhere, you
- 17 know, without the chance of it being hit by a hurricane or
- 18 an earthquake or a flood. You know, who's going to be
- 19 there. It's a terrible thing to leave to our kids, if we
- 20 even live -- you know, if people survive 240,000 years.
- 21 Anyway, the problem has not been solved -- that I have ever
- 22 seen -- with dealing with spent fuel, the plutonium.
- We already have 103 reactors, no operating
- 24 breeders, no operating reprocessing facilities, and no high
- 25 level waste disposal sites. And it isn't safe. When you

- 1 hear stories -- I'm sure you've all heard stories; stories
- 2 of people working in the industry. The one story of one
- 3 young man I know, he went to work at Sea Bright and he was a
- 4 pretty idealistic guy, a smart guy. He lasted probably less
- 5 than a year because he said they wouldn't do what -- he was
- 6 supposed to be the safety inspector -- and they wouldn't do
- 7 what he said. So he had to leave. And I'm sure you've all
- 8 heard stories like that. There's so much human fallibility
- 9 in such a toxic industry.
- About the wind. I've read that if only 20% of the
- 11 wind was harnessed, we would have a 100% of energy worldwide
- 12 that we would need.
- So I am, you know, against this proposal and I hope
- 14 we can clean-up what we have and take care of it for the
- 15 next 240,000 years.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. BROWN: Thank you. Two announcements: One is
- 18 that concludes the number of folks who had signed up to
- 19 speak. If anybody else would like to speak at this point,
- 20 you're welcome to come forward to the microphone.
- 21 And I'm also to announce that at half-time it's UT
- 22 40 UK 30.
- Okay. We have one more speaker. Your name and
- 24 identification?
- 25 MR. ROBERT PEELLE: I'm Robert Peelle. I'm a

- 1 private citizen. I have written remarks as well as a
- 2 comment.
- 3 MR. BROWN: That's fine.
- 4 MR. PEELLE: I want to emphasize one aspect of the
- 5 remarks. I'm a past everything: a past physicist, a past
- 6 local government legislator, a past member of various site
- 7 specific advisory boards and management group in DOE.
- I applaud the goals of the program that we heard
- 9 about, GNEP. I can understand the skepticism that's been
- 10 expressed as well. However, I support the effort to try it,
- 11 although we can't put all our hopes in nuclear energy
- 12 because we have to have others.
- The point that I want to make is that a facility
- 14 that might be safe to run in one part of the country or in
- 15 one location may be totally unsafe in another. I say
- 16 totally unsafe, unacceptable in others. And I'm sure that's
- 17 true for various facilities in various parts of the country.
- In Oak Ridge, for instance, we had test trials of
- 19 fuel reprocessing during the 40s and 50s. We had put away
- 20 the resulting waste in ways that would no longer be
- 21 considered. We have been struggling and have spent billions
- 22 of dollars. DOE has spent billions of dollars to stabilize
- 23 that waste. The effort is going very well. The main
- 24 reprocessing waste area in Melton Valley is starting to look
- 25 like it might hold until the decaying takes place.

- 1 But in assuming our improvements in waste
- 2 processing, I believe it will never turn out for a long
- 3 while that the Oak Ridge Area Reservation is the right place
- 4 to put this new plant. It's difficult to run such a plant
- 5 by any process without unexpected leakage, as has been
- 6 mentioned in the west Valley and some overseas plants.
- 7 There's been a lot of leakage. So certainly the past parent
- 8 of the TDEEC office, the Tennessee Department of Energy
- 9 Environment and Conservation in Oak Ridge, says this place
- 10 is not a place to release any radioactive material. That
- 11 would also be true for hazardous waste.
- The one time when that was tested in the 80s, we
- 13 considered -- we being a lot of Oak Ridgers -- whether we
- 14 should have a monitoring retrievable storage of spent fuel
- 15 from civilian reactors. That was probably a very good
- 16 project; the biggest failure. I was head of one of the
- 17 committees of a charged City-County effort to study it.
- We found there were 25 conditions, or maybe it was
- 19 22, which had to be met by the program before we thought Oak
- 20 Ridge/Roane County could accept it. It was a fairly simple
- 21 thing; it was just an bunch of interim storage facilities.
- 22 We got about 19 of those accepted by DOE, the Department of
- 23 Energy. But at that point, Governor Alexander, the current
- 24 senator, had the project cancelled because he felt it was
- 25 not suitable for Tennessee.

- 1 At that time -- those of us who lived here will
- 2 remember -- we were the bain of Tennessee. A survey of
- 3 traffic on I-75 said that if that -- truck drivers and
- 4 people -- said that if that monitored refueable storage site
- 5 were put in Oak Ridge, they wouldn't use I-75 anymore. That
- 6 was pretty ridiculous. But it was the way that we were
- 7 reviewed.
- 8 And the biggest community and the rest of us in
- 9 Tennessee and Oak Ridge were thought that perception, that
- 10 this is a place of dirt, for many years. It's getting
- 11 better, probably because of the environmental management
- 12 efforts.
- But I predict that if we should accept the fuel
- 14 reprocessing plant, even if it's perfect, which seems almost
- 15 incredible, it will give us a bad name again. It will hurt
- 16 us.
- 17 The reactor for growing uranium and transuranics --
- 18 since we did the site of the Clinch River Reactor, a
- 19 neighboring spot in 1965 or some such time, one could assume
- 20 that that might be sited for the Reservation, unlike the
- 21 fuel reprocessing. However --
- 22 MR. BROWN: You're at the five-minute level.
- 23 MR. PEELLE: -- Knoxville has moved in our
- 24 direction. It might not be plausible now.
- 25 So I hope the project goes forward, but I think not

- 1 in Oak Ridge.
- 2 MR. BROWN: Is there anybody -- yes, we have
- 3 another person to speak.
- 4 MR. FRANZ RAETZER: My name is Franz Raetzer. I
- 5 live over in Harriman. This all sounds really nice and
- 6 good. But if you look at the past experience -- and if the
- 7 indication of the sound system that was chosen for this
- 8 meeting is as imperfect as that, what we do know is that we
- 9 deal with people that don't know how to handle anything for
- 10 it.
- 11 They have all these posters up there. They don't
- 12 say anything about how this reactor should work except that
- 13 it's just a Molten Salt Reactor. How good is that?
- We have in the past have had poisoned the workers
- 15 with the beryllium. We have two million pounds of mercury
- 16 in Watts Bar Lake and the river. We have -- just recently
- 17 they fined one of the corporations where the management of
- 18 it let about, I think, 400 thousand gallons of radioactive
- 19 water into the creek instead of the holding pond to treat
- 20 it.
- 21 So I think what this PEIS should do is really tell
- 22 us first, before we can make any comment, what this is all
- 23 about. What do you really know now about these processes?
- 24 And then we hear, "We are not the experts."
- 25 So how can we make a decision here or a proposal on

- 1 how it should be done.
- 2 MR. BROWN: Thank you. Anyone else?
- All right. We are scheduled to stay until 9:30.
- 4 Customarily, what we do in this case is we will recess at
- 5 this point.
- If people want to ask more questions about the
- 7 materials, that's fine; and if anybody in the course of the
- 8 next hour should decide to offer another comment, please see
- 9 me and we will reconvene.
- 10 Thank you for your attention.
- 11 (A break was taken at which time the following private
- comments were taken by the court reporter.)
- 13 PRIVATE COMMENTS
- MR. GERRY MOLL: Briefly. My name is Gerry Moll
- 15 and I am a local resident and would like to express my
- 16 opposition to moving this reprocessing plant forward.
- 17 really feel there are environmental concerns, safety
- 18 concerns, and terrorism concerns. The whole idea is a
- 19 concern.
- It seems to me that this is proliferating when we
- 21 are trying to stress nonproliferation around the world. We
- 22 need to be cutting back and getting rid of this really
- 23 dangerous industry and finding other ways to supply our
- 24 energy needs, not increasing it.
- Also, I have to say I think it's a really dangerous

- 1 thought to think that we -- it seems this program wants to
- 2 control the plutonium. I don't know that the rest of the
- 3 world is willing to trust us in that role at this point. So
- 4 that's another large concern of mine about the program.
- 5 Another thing I would say and I will put this all
- 6 in a written comment as well. If one really looks at the
- 7 history of the nuclear industry and looks at it worldwide
- 8 and in this country, I think one would have to admit that
- 9 moving forward with a program of this kind is highly
- 10 questionable. We just haven't proven ourselves to be very
- 11 reliable in handling nuclear wastes.
- 12 Thank you very much.
- 13 ROBERT G. KENNEDY, PE: My name is Robert G.
- 14 Kennedy, PE. I'm a Past President for Friends of ORNL and
- 15 President of ULTIMAX Group, Inc. and President of O'Ryan,
- 16 Inc. So that's two nonprofits and a corporation. I have a
- 17 background in nuclear engineering, robotics, and mechanical
- 18 engineering.
- 19 I think the Actinide Burning Reactor is a great
- 20 idea. I believe Oak Ridge is the natural best place in the
- 21 country to build such a reactor, to research and to build
- 22 it.
- I think Oak Ridge is the naturally worst place in
- 24 the country to put a fuel reprocessing plant because of the
- 25 geology and the hydrology and the climate, and also because

Page 47

```
1 the population is beginning to grow up to the Reservation.
                           Short and sweet.
2
            So that's it.
                   (The meeting was reconvened.)
 3
                         This is Holmes Brown, the facilitator
           Mr.
                 Brown:
 5 for this evening's meeting at Oak Ridge. I am reconvening
6 the meeting and am asking: Are there any other members of
7 the public that would like make a comment at this time?
            Since there is no other person who wishes to make a
 9 comment, this concludes this session of the scoping meetings
10 on the GNEP PEIS. Thank you for your participation and
11 comments.
            Also, please note that you may continue to submit
12
13 comments on the scope of the PEIS until the comment period
14 closes on April 4. Check your packet for information
15 regarding how and where to submit these comments.
            I will now adjourn this meeting.
16
            Thank you very much.
17
                   (The meeting was adjourned.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE)
3	COUNTY OF KNOX)
4	I, JIMMIE JANE McCONNELL, CLA, CCR(TN), Court
5	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the County of Knox,
6	State of Tennessee at Large, do hereby certify:
7	That I reported stenographically the Public Scoping
8	Meeting on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
9	Global Nuclear Energy Partnership held in Oak Ridge,
10	Tennessee, on the 13th day of February, 2007; that the said
11	comments in connection with the public meeting were reduced
12	to typewritten form by me; that the foregoing transcript is
13	a true and accurate record of said comments to the best of
14	my knowledge, skills, and ability.
15	I further certify that I am not kin to any of the
16	parties, and I have no financial or otherwise interest in
17	the action if these proceedings whatsoever.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
19	affixed my Notarial Seal this 23rd day of Februar 2003
20	Limmie Jane W. Connell & Service
21	Notary Public
22	My Commission Expires: 06/07/08.
23	
24	
25	